

Copyright  
by  
Lawrence Mark Lesser  
1994

**The Role of Counterintuitive Examples  
in Statistics Education**

by

**Lawrence Mark Lesser, B.A., M.S.**

**Dissertation**

Presented to the Faculty of the Graduate School of

The University of Texas at Austin

in Partial Fulfillment

of the Requirements

for the Degree of

**Doctor of Philosophy**

**The University of Texas at Austin**

August 1994

**The Role of Counterintuitive Examples  
in Statistics Education**

**Approved by  
Dissertation Committee:**

---

---

---

---

---

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I wish to give recognition and profound thanks to the many people who have seen me through this work and stage of my lengthy academic career:

To my family for their many contributions, especially: my parents, Dr. and Mrs. Herbert Lesser, whose support made it easier for me to complete my education coursework and dissertation in a timely manner; my sister Lori, for taking the train to visit with me during the weekend of my first out-of-state job interview; my mother's parents, Dr. and Mrs. Bernard Farfel; my father's mother, the late Julia Lesser, who taught mathematics with distinction for over 25 years in the Fort Worth schools, and whose memory I hope to honor with my service in the field; and her sister, the late Sadie Streusand, a teacher and guidance counselor in the Houston schools, who encouraged my initial involvement in extracurricular mathematics organizations and contests.

To the distinguished members of my dissertation committee: my supervisor (and expert solver of puzzles), Dr. Ralph W. Cain, for the consistent generosity of his time, experience and humor from the day he welcomed me to the program., Dr. L. Ray Carry, for his sense of integrity and humility that helped me deepen my respect for the power and pitfalls of education research, as well as for many enjoyable and inspiring mathematical software demonstrations and philosophical discussions on "Truth versus truth"; Dr. Charles E. Lamb, for telling it like it is, showing me how "academia is more like the real world than anything else is," and encouraging me to "just start writing" at a time when I was stalled by the inertia of too many options; Dr. Margaret E. Myers and Dr. Mary R. Parker, for their collegial sharing of advice and curricula materials both as fellow statisticians and as fellow educators. I appreciate their timely ongoing feedback and support of study that in some ways was atypical for the department and for someone with my quantitative background.

To all of the instructors I have had in the College of Education for entertaining my many questions inside and outside the classroom. I am also grateful that virtually all of my courses encouraged significant interaction with my peers, a healthy balance between theory and practice, and immediate immersion in the literature. In fact, I recall quite vividly the moment in Dr.

Cain's spring 1993 EDC 382S course in which I fulfilled a class assignment by reviewing the article by Burrill (1990) that inspired a term paper, which in turn evolved into a research paper in Dr. Carry's fall 1993 EDC 385G course, which ultimately grew into this study. Other class papers led to colloquium and conference presentations, such as "Using Music in Math Classes: A Sound Lesson," a class paper that became a presentation at the 1994 AMS/MAA national joint meetings.

To Dr. Anne Madsen, Dr. Judi Harris, Dr. Martha Smith, Dr. Uri Triesman, Dr. Lynne Stokes, and Dr. Diane Schallert for their generosity of time and advice even when I was not officially enrolled in their courses. I am also very grateful for advice from numerous faculty at other universities, including: Dr. Leslie P. Steffe (University of Georgia), Dr. Joseph Cooper (Johns Hopkins University), Dr. Kevin Jones (Southwest Texas State University), and Dr. Joan Garfield (University of Minnesota).

To Mr. William Raschke, whose endowed presidential scholarship in mathematics education has been both a big honor and a big help during my final year of the program.

To those whose time as graduate students at the University of Texas overlapped with mine, providing cooperation and camaraderie, especially: Cindy Christiansen, Kurt Lambert, Christof Kessler, David Pratt, Scott David Ritchie, Cathy Burrell, Louise Woodburn, Beth Kamman, Mary Bunch, Mary Wagner-Krankel, Sherry Ziaja, Cindy Schneider, Cindy Trout, Barbara Montalto, Kate McQuiggan, Eric Pandiscio, Tracy Rusch and Susan Hull. (Also, thank you, Chris Hull, for making my first experience working with middle school students so positive.) Thanks also to the very helpful and friendly staff in the Mathematics Education and Curriculum and Instruction offices, especially Becca, Salina, Merry, and Patty.

To Ms. Beverly Guhl, for invaluable inspiration, humor, and moral support throughout the coursework stage of my Ph.D. program. And to Marilyn Roth, Eleanor Fye, Marilyn Cweren, Leo Mazow, the Halls (Jon, Colleen, and Andy), and everyone at Corner Oak Music Farm for friendship and fellowship during the dissertation stage.

To the teachers I had before coming to Austin that have encouraged and inspired me personally and professionally, especially: Mrs. Margaret Darby, Dr. John Beam, Dr. Richard Tapia, Dr. Marek Kossowski, Mrs. Ida Medlen and Ms. Shirley Bigbee.

To Dr. Tom Sager and Dr. Betsy Greenberg for their roles in helping me realize that my greatest strength, deepest satisfaction and highest calling are in the field of education.

To the following people who helped provide me with teaching opportunities that greatly enhanced my abilities as an educator: Dr. Eleanor Jordan, Dr. Nancy Phillips, Dr. Carl Morris, Dr. Richard Kopec, Dr. Jean McKemie, Dr. Robin Eanes, Dr. John Chapman, Dr. Steven Dilworth, Dr. Bruce Palka, University of Texas Informal Classes, the Department of Management Science and Information Systems at the University of Texas at Austin, the Mathematics Department of the University of Texas, the Mathematics Department of Rice University, and Houston Scholastic Services.

To Dr. Robin Eanes, Dr. S. Lynne Stokes, Dr. Robert Lewand, and Dr. Joan Garfield for encouraging my first conference presentations as an educator at the local, state, national, and international levels, respectively.

To the *Austin American-Statesman's* David Elliot, UT Informal Classes' Lee Roose, Ed Loewenstein and all others who helped make my course on the Texas Lottery such a well-publicized success.

To my good friend Dr. Kerry Go, who—during my tenure as Mu Alpha Theta president at Bellaire High School—unselfishly gave me my first glimpse of mathematics beyond the textbook.

To all of my wonderful Austin friends (most of whom are listed in the credits of my 1992 tape of original acoustic songs) for the love and support they have given me during an often hectic and stressful stage of my life. These eight years in the River City have been a blessing and I will treasure your support and continued friendship always.

To the schools that hosted me for job interview visits, I was honored that most of them made me attractive offers. While I am very excited to begin

working at the University of Northern Colorado, I know I would have also enjoyed being at any of those other schools.

To the 500-plus students I have taught so far in my career—your questions and answers have been wonderful motivators in my growth as an educator, a researcher, and a human being. And to David Wilcox, for approaching his work with creativity, honesty, love and purpose that inspires me to strive towards more of these qualities in my own work.

**The Role of Counterintuitive Examples  
in Statistics Education**

Publication No. \_\_\_\_\_

Lawrence Mark Lesser, Ph.D.

The University of Texas at Austin, 1994

Supervisor: Ralph W. Cain

The purpose of this study was to develop a theoretical model for the use of counterintuitive examples in the introductory non-calculus-based statistics course at the college level. While intuition and misconceptions continue to be of great interest to mathematics and science educators, there has been little research, much less consensus or even internal consistency, in statistics curriculum development concerning the role of examples with counterintuitive results. Because the study intended to provide educators with useful connections to content, instructional methods (e.g., cooperative learning) and learning theory constructs that have been successfully used in mathematics or science education, the model that emerged was organized around a typical syllabus of topics.

The study critiqued and then reconciled “Traditional” and “Alternative” perspectives. The Traditional Position attempts to minimize possible confusion and frustration by avoiding such examples, while the Alternative Position uses them to motivate and engage students in critical thinking, active learning, metacognition, communication of their ideas, real-world problem solving and exploration, reflection on the nature and process of statistics, and other types of activities encouraged by current reform movements.

The study delineated specific criteria and conditions for selecting and using counterintuitive examples to achieve numerous cognitive and affective objectives. Examples explored include the Monty Hall problem, Simpson’s Paradox, the birthday problem, de Méré’s Paradox, the Classification Paradox, the Inspection Paradox, and required sample size. The study connected many of



these examples (especially Simpson's Paradox) with other counterintuitive examples, with known probability or statistics misconceptions many students have, with representations from other branches of mathematics, and with the constructivist paradigm.

Problematic issues addressed include difficulty in constructing assessment instruments and a multiplicity of terminologies and typologies. Additional directions for research were suggested, including several empirical investigations of various facets of the model. The connections, examples, and representations presented should be extremely useful for teachers of statistics, but should also enrich the pedagogy of teachers of other courses.

<i>Chapter</i>	<i>Page</i>
1	IMPORTANCE OF THE INVESTIGATION ..... 1
1.1	Introduction ..... 1
1.2	Applications Outside the Introductory Statistics Course..... 9
1.3	Looking Ahead..... 11
2	CLASSIFICATIONS OF INTUITION..... 12
2.1	The Relationship Between Statistics and Cognition..... 12
2.2	Operational Definition of Intuition ..... 13
2.3	Pedagogical Applications of Intuition..... 16
2.3.1	Conceptual Intuition..... 16
2.3.2	Geometric Intuition..... 17
2.3.3	Numerical Intuition..... 18
2.4	Problematic Issues..... 21
2.4.1	Terminology..... 21
2.4.2	Measurement..... 21
2.5	Looking Ahead..... 22
3	COUNTERINTUITIVE EXAMPLES IN STATISTICS ..... 23
3.1	The Meaning of <i>Counterintuitive</i> ..... 23
3.2	Criteria for Counterintuitive Examples ..... 26
3.3	Simpson’s Paradox ..... 29
3.4	Probabilities Involving Disjunctive Events ..... 38
3.5	Classification Paradox..... 43
3.6	Required Sample Size ..... 44
3.7	Looking Ahead..... 47
4	A PAIR OF PARADIGMS ON PARADOX..... 48
4.1	The Traditional Position: “Paradox Lost”..... 48
4.2	Limitations of the Traditional Position ..... 50
4.3	The Alternative Position: Counterintuitive Examples ..... 51
4.4	Limitations of the Alternative Position ..... 57
4.5	Moving Toward Synthesis: Lesser of Two Evils ..... 58
4.6	Issues Considered in Building the Model..... 60
4.7	SPICE: Structured Progression Involving Counterintuitive Examples..... 64

5	CONNECTIONS TO TEACHING AND LEARNING .....	84
5.1	Small-Group Cooperative Learning .....	84
5.2	Structured Controversies.....	85
5.3	Constructivism.....	88
6	CONCLUSIONS.....	92
6.1	Summary .....	92
6.2	Problematic Issues .....	93
6.3	Additional Directions for Research .....	95
	BIBLIOGRAPHY .....	98
	VITA .....	114

