

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD

Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



Last Lecture

Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD

- $|f(-1) p_1(-1)| \le 0.5$ and $|f(-0.5) - p_1(-0.5)| \le 0.125.$
- f(-1) = 0.3679, $p_1(-1) = 0$, $p_2(-1) = 0.5$.

$$f(-0.5) = 0.6065$$

 $p_1(-0.5) = 0.5, \ p_2(-0.5) = 0.625$

Taylor's Remainder to calculate the approximation error

$$R_n(x) := f(x) - p_n(x) = \frac{(x-a)^{n+1}}{(n+1)!} f^{(n+1)}(c_x)$$

 c_x is an unknown number between x and a.



Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Use the Taylor polynomial of degree 1 and 2 to find an approximation to √2 = 1.41421356237. Solution:
1 f(x) = √x + 1, x = 1.
2 f'(x) = 1/(2√x + 1), f''(x) = -1/(4(x + 1)^{3/2}).
3 Next step: Pick the suitable choice of 'a'.

$$p_1(x) = f(0) + f'(0)x = 1 + \frac{x}{2},$$

$$p_2(x) = p_1(x) + \frac{f''(0)x^2}{2} = 1 + \frac{x}{2} - \frac{x^2}{8}.$$

5 $\sqrt{2} \approx 1.5$ and $\sqrt{2} \approx 1.375$.

How to approximate the value of log(2)?
 <u>Hint</u> The choice of *a* is non zero.



Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD

- Bound the error in using the degree 3 Taylor polynomial p₃(x) to approximate e^x on [-1,1] using Taylor's remainder formula.
- Solution:

$$egin{aligned} |f(x)-p_3(x)| &\leq rac{|x|^4}{4!}e^{c_x} \ &\leq rac{1}{24}e^{c_x} \ &\leq rac{1}{24}e^1 = 0.1133. \end{aligned}$$



Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD

- Bound the error in using the degree 3 Taylor polynomial p₃(x) to approximate e^x on [-1,1] using Taylor's remainder formula.
- Solution:

$$egin{aligned} |f(x)-p_3(x)| &\leq rac{|x|^4}{4!}e^{c_x} \ &\leq rac{1}{4!}e^{c_x} \ &\leq rac{1}{24}e^{1} = 0.1133. \end{aligned}$$



Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD How large should the degree 2n+1 2n be of the Taylor polynomial p_{2n}(x) to have

$$|\cos(x) - p_{2n}(x)| \le 10^{-4}$$

for all
$$-\frac{\pi}{2} \le x \le \frac{\pi}{2}$$
?

Solution:

$$\begin{split} f(x) - p_{2n}(x)| &\leq \frac{|x|^{(2n+2)}}{((2n+2)!} |\cos(c_x)| \\ &\leq \frac{|x|^{2n+2}}{(2n+2)!} * 1 \\ &\leq \frac{|\frac{\pi}{2}|^{2n+2}}{(2n+2)!} \end{split}$$

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD

$$\leq \frac{(\frac{\pi}{2})^{2n+2}}{(2n+2)!} \\ \leq 10^{-4}$$

$$n = 3$$
 gives $0.00091926027 > 10^{-4}$
 $n = 4$ gives $0.00002520204 < 10^{-4}$.
Answer: $n \ge 4$.

Repeat the previous problem with cos(x) replaced with log(x + 2). You can now work out the problems from Worksheet 01!



Chapter 2: Error and Computer Arithmetic

Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD With each lecture, our definition of numerical analysis is going to evolve.

Numerical Analysis is the study of techniques to computationally solve a problem that is, develop a sequence of numerical calculations to get a suitable solution.

This suitable answer is determined by the error tolerance denoted by ε .

Part of this process is to take into account the errors that arise in these calculations from the errors in the arithmetic operations or from other sources.



Chapter 2: Error and Computer Arithmetic

Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Computer use binary arithmetic, representing each number as a binary number: a finite sum of integer powers of 2. Some numbers can be represented exactly, but others such as $\frac{1}{10}$, $\frac{1}{100}$, $\frac{1}{1000}$, \cdots cannot be represented exactly.

$$2.125 = 2 + 2^{-3}$$

has an exact representation in binary but the following number has an inexact representation:

$$3.1 \approx 2^1 + 2^0 + 2^{-4} + 2^{-5} + 2^{-8} + \cdots$$

Furthermore, π have no finite representation in either decimal or binary number system.

Please see Appendix E of the textbook for a more details.



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Computers use 2 formats for storing numbers:

1 Fixed-Point numbers used to store integers.

Each number is stored in a computer word of 32 binary digits (bits) with values 0 or 1. Hence there are 2^{32} different numbers can be stored.

If we permit negative numbers, we can represent integers in the range $-2^{-31} \le x \le 2^{31} - 1$ since there are 2^{32} such numbers. Since $2^{31} \approx 2.1 \times 10^9$.

The range of the fixed-point numbers is too restrictive for scientific computing. The stored numbers that are stored are equally spaced.

Ploating-point numbers approximate real numbers. The numbers are not equally spaced and a wide range of numbers are represented exactly.



Floating-Point Representation

Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD For $x \neq 0$ written in decimal system, we can uniquely write it as

$$x = \sigma \cdot \bar{x} \cdot 10^e$$

where

1 $\sigma = +1$ or -1 is the sign,

2 e is an integer and is the exponent and

3 $1 \leq \bar{x} < 10$, the significand or mantissa

Example: $124.62 = \sigma (1.2462) \cdot 10^{e}$, with $\sigma = 1$ and e = 2.



Floating-Point Representation

Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhE Limitations on the the floating point representation of any $x\in\mathbb{R}$ is

1 number of digits in the mantissa \bar{x}

2 size of e

Suppose we limit

1 number of digits in the mantissa \bar{x} to 4.

2 $-99 \le e \le 99$

This is the four-digit decimal floating point arithmetic. That is, we can only store the first four digits of a number accurately even if the fourth digit is obtained by rounding.



Floating-Point Representation

Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhE Limitations on the the floating point representation of any $x\in\mathbb{R}$ is

1 number of digits in the mantissa \bar{x}

2 size of e

Suppose we limit

1 number of digits in the mantissa \bar{x} to 4.

2 $-99 \le e \le 99$

This is the four-digit decimal floating point arithmetic. That is, we can only store the first four digits of a number accurately even if the fourth digit is obtained by rounding.



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD For $x \neq 0$ written in binary system, we can express it as

$$x = \sigma \cdot \bar{x} \cdot 2^e$$

where

1 $\sigma = +1$ or -1 is the sign,

2 e is an integer and is the exponent and

3 \bar{x} is a binary fraction satisfying

$$(1)_2 \leq \bar{x} < (10)_2,$$

which in decimal translates to $1 \leq \bar{x} < 2$.

4 Example: $x = (11011.0111)_2 = \sigma \underbrace{(1.10110111)_2}_{\bar{x}} \cdot 2^e$, with

 $\sigma = 1$ and $e = 4 = (100)_2$.



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Floating-point representation of a binary number x is given by the definition on the previous page with a restriction on

- 1 Number of digits in \bar{x} : the precision of the binary floating-point representation of x,
- 2 size of e.
- The IEEE single precision floating-point representation of \boldsymbol{x} has
 - 1 Precision of 24 bits
 - **2** $-126 \le e \le 127$

3

$$x = \sigma \cdot (1.a_1a_2\cdots a_{23}) \cdot 2^e$$

stores 32 bits with

$$\underbrace{b_1}_{\sigma} \underbrace{b_2 b_3 \cdots b_9}_{E=e+127} \underbrace{b_{10} b_{11} \cdots b_{32}}_{\bar{x}}$$



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD The IEEE double precision floating-point representation of \boldsymbol{x} has

1 Precision of 53 bits

2 $-1022 \le e \le 1023$

3

$$x = \sigma \cdot (1.a_1a_2\cdots a_{52}) \cdot 2^e$$

stores 64 bits with

$$\underbrace{b_1}_{\sigma} \underbrace{b_2 b_3 \cdots b_{12}}_{E=e+1023} \underbrace{b_{13} b_{14} \cdots b_{64}}_{\bar{x}}$$

▲ロト ▲帰ト ▲ヨト ▲ヨト 三日 - の々ぐ



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Error in a computational science problem:

- 1 Original Errors
 - Modeling Errors
 - Blunders and mistakes
 - Physical Measurement Errors
 - Machine Representation and Arithmetic Errors
 - Mathematical Approximation Errors. For instance: $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using Taylor approximation.

- 2 Consequence of Errors
 - Loss of Significance
 - Noise in function evaluation
 - Under and overflow errors



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Error in a computational science problem:

- 1 Original Errors
 - Modeling Errors
 - Blunders and mistakes
 - Physical Measurement Errors
 - Machine Representation and Arithmetic Errors
 - Mathematical Approximation Errors. For instance: $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using Taylor approximation.

- 2 Consequence of Errors
 - Loss of Significance
 - Noise in function evaluation
 - Under and overflow errors



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Error in a computational science problem:

- 1 Original Errors
 - Modeling Errors
 - Blunders and mistakes
 - Physical Measurement Errors
 - Machine Representation and Arithmetic Errors
 - Mathematical Approximation Errors. For instance: $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using Taylor approximation.

- 2 Consequence of Errors
 - Loss of Significance
 - Noise in function evaluation
 - Under and overflow errors



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Error in a computational science problem:

- 1 Original Errors
 - Modeling Errors
 - Blunders and mistakes
 - Physical Measurement Errors
 - Machine Representation and Arithmetic Errors
 - Mathematical Approximation Errors. For instance: $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using Taylor approximation.

- 2 Consequence of Errors
 - Loss of Significance
 - Noise in function evaluation
 - Under and overflow errors



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Error in a computational science problem:

- 1 Original Errors
 - Modeling Errors
 - Blunders and mistakes
 - Physical Measurement Errors
 - Machine Representation and Arithmetic Errors
 - Mathematical Approximation Errors. For instance: $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using Taylor approximation.

- 2 Consequence of Errors
 - Loss of Significance
 - Noise in function evaluation
 - Under and overflow errors



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Error in a computational science problem:

- 1 Original Errors
 - Modeling Errors
 - Blunders and mistakes
 - Physical Measurement Errors
 - Machine Representation and Arithmetic Errors
 - Mathematical Approximation Errors. For instance: $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using Taylor approximation.

- 2 Consequence of Errors
 - Loss of Significance
 - Noise in function evaluation
 - Under and overflow errors



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Error in a computational science problem:

- 1 Original Errors
 - Modeling Errors
 - Blunders and mistakes
 - Physical Measurement Errors
 - Machine Representation and Arithmetic Errors
 - Mathematical Approximation Errors. For instance: $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using Taylor approximation.

- 2 Consequence of Errors
 - Loss of Significance
 - Noise in function evaluation
 - Under and overflow errors



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Error in a computational science problem:

- Original Errors
 - Modeling Errors
 - Blunders and mistakes
 - Physical Measurement Errors
 - Machine Representation and Arithmetic Errors
 - Mathematical Approximation Errors. For instance: $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using Taylor approximation.

- 2 Consequence of Errors
 - Loss of Significance
 - Noise in function evaluation
 - Under and overflow errors



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Error in a computational science problem:

- Original Errors
 - Modeling Errors
 - Blunders and mistakes
 - Physical Measurement Errors
 - Machine Representation and Arithmetic Errors
 - Mathematical Approximation Errors. For instance: $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using Taylor approximation.

2 Consequence of Errors

- Loss of Significance
- Noise in function evaluation
- Under and overflow errors



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Error in a computational science problem:

- Original Errors
 - Modeling Errors
 - Blunders and mistakes
 - Physical Measurement Errors
 - Machine Representation and Arithmetic Errors
 - Mathematical Approximation Errors. For instance: $\int_{0}^{1} e^{-x^{2}} dx$ using Taylor approximation.

2 Consequence of Errors

- Loss of Significance
- Noise in function evaluation
- Under and overflow errors



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Consider evaluation of

$$f(x) = x(\sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x})$$
 for $x = 10^p, p = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5$.

As x increases there are fewer values of accuracy in the computed value f(x). $\sqrt{101} = \underbrace{10.04999}_{\text{rounded}}, \quad \sqrt{100} = 10, \ \sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x} = 0.0499000$ however the true value is 0.0498756. This calculation admits a loss of significance error. Three digits of accuracy were canceled by subtraction of the corresponding digits in $\sqrt{x} = \sqrt{100}$.



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD There are two causes of loss of this accuracy:

1 the mathematical form of f(x)

2 the finite precision 6-digit decimal arithmetic used

Increasing the precision is not possible always so instead we can consider a reformulation of f(x).

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{\sqrt{x+1} + \sqrt{x}}$$

yields the right values on a 6 digit decimal calculator that is f(100) = 4.98756.



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Consider evaluation of

$$f(x) = \frac{1 - \cos(x)}{x^2}$$
 for $x = 10^{-p}, p = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5,$

on a computer with 9-digit decimal arithmetic used. For x = 0.01, $\cos(x) = 0.9999500004$ (= 0.999950000416665)

 $1 - \cos(0.01) = 0.0000499996 \ (= 4.999958333495869e - 05)$

which only have 5 significant digits with 4 lost due to subtraction.

To avoid loss due to subtraction of nearly equal quantities, we use the Taylor approximation for cos(x) about 0.



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD

$$\cos(x) = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + R_6(x)$$
(1)
where $R_6(x) = \frac{x^8}{8!} \cos(c_x)$.
$$f(x) = \frac{1 - \cos(x)}{x^2} = \frac{1}{x^2} \left(\frac{x^2}{2} - \frac{x^4}{4!} + \frac{x^6}{6!} - \frac{\cos(c_x)x^8}{8!} \right)$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} - \frac{x^2}{4!} + \frac{x^4}{6!} - \frac{\cos(c_x)x^6}{8!}.$$
$$\to \frac{1}{2} \quad \text{as } x \to 0.$$

This is in conformity with applying L'Hopital's Rule to obtain the true limiting value $\frac{1}{2}$.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD

For $|x| \le 0.1$,

$$|\frac{\cos(c_x)x^6}{8!}| \le \frac{(0.1)^6}{8!} \le 2.5e - 11$$

We can choose a smaller polynomial degree however that will increase the approximation error.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ



Loss-of-Significance Error

Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD When two nearly equal quantities are subtracted, leading significant digits are lost. This can be circumvented by:

 Replace the function with a simpler function (example use the Taylor polynomial).
 Example:

$$\cos(x) = 1 - \frac{x^2}{2!} + \frac{x^4}{4!} - \frac{x^6}{6!} + R_6(x)$$

where $R_6(x) = \frac{x^8}{8!} \cos(c_x)$ where c_x is an unknown between 0 and x.

2 Reformulate the mathematical expression for example

$$\sqrt{x+1} - \sqrt{x} = rac{(\sqrt{x+1})^2 - (\sqrt{x})^2}{\sqrt{x+1} + \sqrt{x}}.$$





Natasha S. Sharma, PhD

- In the evaluation of function, avoid the operation of subtraction especially when the quantities being subtracted are close to each other.
- This can be done by reformulating the function in a mathematically equivalent but numerically more accurate manner.

Another example to evaluate e^{-7} , instead of using the Taylor series (with the remainder term) applied to $f(x) = e^{-7}$ which will involve lots of subtraction, we consider applying the Taylor series to $f(x) = e^{7}$. That is:

$$e^{-7} = rac{1}{e^7} = rac{1}{ ext{Taylor Series for } e^7}$$



Relative Error

Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Absolute Error is denoted by $error(x_a)$ and is defined as

$$\mathsf{Error}(x_a) := x_t - x_a,$$

where x_t denotes a true value. This can be a positive or a negative quantity. Relative Error is defined as

$$\operatorname{Rel}(x_a) := \frac{\operatorname{Error}(x_a)}{\operatorname{true value}} = \frac{x_t - x_a}{x_t},$$

Example: For the approximation x_a to $x_t = \pi = \frac{22}{7} \approx 3.14159265 \cdots$. x_{a_7} using 7-digit precision is 3.1415927, $\operatorname{Rel}(x_{a_7}) = \frac{\pi - x_{a_7}}{\pi} = ?$. For $x_{a_6} = 3.141593$ what is $\operatorname{Rel}(x_{a_6}) = \frac{\pi - x_{a_6}}{\pi} = ?$.



Natasha S. Sharma, PhD Consider the following two problems:

1 The precise distance between two cities A and B is $x_{T1} = 100$ km and the measured distance is $x_{a1} = 99$ km.

$$\operatorname{Error}(x_{a1}) := 1 \text{ km} , \operatorname{Rel}(x_{a1}) = \frac{1}{100} = 0.01 = 1\%.$$

2 The precise distance between two cities A and B is $x_{T2} = 2$ km and the measured distance is $x_{a2} = 1$ km.

$$\mathsf{Error}(x_{\mathsf{a}2}) := 1 \,\,\mathsf{km} \,\,,\,\, \mathsf{Rel}(x_{\mathsf{a}2}) = rac{1}{2} = 0.5 = 50\%.$$

Relative Error is more true representation of the approximation error!



Significant Digits

Math 4329: Numerical Analysis Lecture 02

Natasha S. Sharma, PhD The number of significant digits in an approximated value x_a is the number of its leading digits that are correct relative to the corresponding digits in the true value x_t .

Example: The following approximation x_a has at least *m* digits of significance.

$$\begin{aligned} x_t &= a_1 \ a_2 \ a_3 \cdot a_4 \ a_5 \ a_6 \cdots \ a_m \ a_{m+1} \ a_{m+2} \\ |x_t - x_a| &= \ 0 \ \ 0 \ \ 0 \cdot \ \ 0 \ \ 0 \ \ 0 \ \ \cdots \ \ 0 \ \ b_{m+1} \ \ b_{m+2} \end{aligned}$$

Workout-example:

 $x_a = 0.222, \ x_t = \frac{2}{9} \approx 0.222222$ on a 6-digit precision computer, $|x_t - x_a| = 0.000222 \Rightarrow 3$ digits of significance.