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## Summary

Shifted simplicial complexes and (the independence complexes) of matroids have many similarities:

- Definitions are somewhat similar.
- Both closed under deletion and contraction.
- Eigenvalues of combinatorial Laplacians are integers (pretty rare).
- Eigenvalues satisfy same recursion (different proofs).


## Question

Is there a (nice) common generalization of shifted complexes and matroids?

## Shifted simplicial complexes

## Definition

A non-empty family $\mathcal{K}$ of $k$-subsets of ground set $E=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ is shifted if: $\forall F \in \mathcal{K}, \forall v \in F, \forall v^{\prime}<v$, if $v^{\prime} \notin F$, then

$$
(F-v) \cup v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{K} .
$$

Example
123, 124, 125, 126, 134, 135, 136, 145, 234, 235, 236.
Definition
A simplicial complex is shifted if its family of $i$-dimensional faces is shifted, for all $i$.

## Remark

The simplicial complex formed by taking all subsets of every set
$F \in \mathcal{K}$ is a pure shifted simplicial complex.

## Independence complexes of matroids

## Definition

Matroid can be defined by its bases: A non-empty family $\mathcal{B}$ of $k$-subsets of ground set $E=\{1, \ldots, n\}$ satisfying:
$\forall B \in \mathcal{B}, \forall b \in B, \forall B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}, \exists b^{\prime} \in B^{\prime}$ such that

$$
(B-b) \cup b^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B} .
$$

Example
If $G$ is a graph, then the bases of $M(G)$ are spanning trees.
Definition
The independence complex $\operatorname{IN}(M)$ of $M$ is the simplicial complex formed by taking all subsets of every base $B \in \mathcal{B}$, i.e., the independent sets $\operatorname{IN}(M)$ of matroid $M$.

## Deletion and contraction

Motivated by the independent sets of a graph after deleting, or contracting, an edge of the graph.

## Definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{IN}(M-e) & =\{I \in \operatorname{IN}(M): e \notin I\} \\
\operatorname{IN}(M / e) & =\{I-e: I \in \operatorname{IN}(M), e \in I\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example


## Deletion and contraction

Motivated by the independent sets of a graph after deleting, or contracting, an edge of the graph. But it can also be done for any simplicial complex.

## Definition

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta-e & =\{F \in \Delta: e \notin F\} \\
\Delta / e & =\{F-e: F \in \Delta, e \in F\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Example


124, 125, 126, 145
$123,134,135,136,234,235,236$

## Tutte recursion



124, 125, 126, 145
$123,134,135,136,234,235,236$
Fact (easy)
Matroids, and shifted complexes, are closed under deletion and contraction.
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Fact (easy)
Matroids, and shifted complexes, are closed under deletion and contraction.

Remark
Tutte polynomial satisfies:

$$
T_{M}=T_{M-e}+T_{M / e}
$$

and many matroid invariants are evaluations of the Tutte polynomial

## Laplacians

## Definition

- $L_{i}^{d u}=\partial_{i}^{T} \partial_{i}: C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i}$, down-up Laplacian
- $L_{i}^{u d}=\partial_{i+1} \partial_{i+1}^{T}: C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i}$, up-down Laplacian
- $L_{i}^{\text {tot }}=L_{i}^{d u}+L_{i}^{\text {ud }}: C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i}$, total Laplacian
where $\partial_{i}: C_{i}(\Delta) \rightarrow C_{i-1}(\Delta)$ is the usual signed boundary map.
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## Remark

Can get eigenvalues (in all dimensions) of any one of these from any other of them (basic linear algebra)

## Eigenvalues

Theorem (D.-Reiner, '02; Kook-Reiner-Stanton, '00)
Laplacian eigenvalues of shifted complexes, and matroids, are integers, and there are nice formulas

Remark
Very few other examples of integer Laplacian eigenvalues.
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## Remark

We can also do all this for relative complexes (shifted complexes:
same vertex ordering; matroids: strong map), e.g., ( $\Delta-e, \Delta / e)$.

## Spectral recursion

## Definition

Spectral polynomial $S_{\Delta}(q, t)$ is a generating function of Laplacian eigenvalues of a simplicial complex $\Delta$.

Theorem (D., '05)
Both shifted complexes, and matroids, satisfy the spectral recursion:

$$
S_{\Delta}=q S_{\Delta-e}+q t S_{\Delta / e}+(1-q) S_{(\Delta-e, \Delta / e)}
$$

## Remark

Proof for shifted complexes totally different from proof for matroids.

## Weighted version?

## Question

How much of this setup works with the following weighted boundary matrix?

|  | 123 | 124 | 125 | 134 | 234 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 | +3 | +4 | +5 | 0 | 0 |
| 13 | -2 | 0 | 0 | +4 | 0 |
| 14 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -3 | 0 |
| 15 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 |
| 23 | +1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +4 |
| 24 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 | -3 |
| 25 | 0 | 0 | +1 | 0 | 0 |
| 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | +1 | +2 |

Remark (D.-Klivans-Martin, '09)
Weighted Laplacian eigenvalues of shifted complexes are nice.

## Summary

## Question

What else satisfies all of these?:

- Closed under deletion and contraction
- Integer Laplacian eigenvalues
- Satisfy spectral recursion
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## Happy (birth+1)day, Richard!

